



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER  
OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/571,091 12/12/95 IRGANG

A1M1/0722

JOHN H SHURTLEFF  
140 S DEARBORN ST  
SUITE 411  
CHICAGO IL 60603

EXAMINER

M 02-45.497

FREISCH,N

ART UNIT	PAGE NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 1106

07/22/96

Please find a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.  
Commissions of Patents and Trademarks.

telephonic  
Responsive to /Communication Filed July 9, 1996.

The enclosed is a correct copy of a reference relating to the last  
Office action. The correction is indicated below.

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE OF THREE(3) MONTHS SET ON SAID OFFICE ACTION  
IS RESTARTED TO BEGIN WITH THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.

☐ Part 1 - Correct Reference Citation

by \_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner

☒ Part 2 - Correct Reference Furnished

4,440,875 Kortbeek et al

Comprehensive Organic Chemistry, Ed: J.C.Bailar, Jr.

by Margaret W. Stevens  
Reference Order Center

Margaret W. Stevens  
Supervisory Legal Instrument Examiner  
Group 1100

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
08/571,091

Applicant(s)  
Irgang et al.

Examiner  
Nadine Preisch

Group Art Unit  
1106



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nadine Preisch (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) \_\_\_\_\_ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jul 9, 1996

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Identification of prior art discussed:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

references not included in mailed office action  
office action mailed, June 27, 1996

office action will be remailed with references  
attached

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.